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Abstract

Developments in the recent application of in situ diagnostics to improve understanding of nanomaterial synthesis processes in carbon arc plasma are summarized. These diagnostics measure the plasma conditions in the arc core and the precursor species to nanoparticle formation and the presence and sizes of nanoparticles in the synthesis region surrounding the hot arc core. They provide information that could not be obtained by the ex situ diagnostics used in previous studies of nanomaterial synthesis in arc plasma. The following diagnostics are covered: Optical Emission Spectroscopy, Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence, Laser Induced Incandescence, Fast Frame Imaging, Coherent Rayleigh Brillouin Scattering, and the Nanomaterial Extractor Probe. The diagnostic measurements are consistent with a recently developed two-dimensional fluid model of nanomaterial synthesis in the arc plasma.
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1. Introduction

Nanomaterials have unique mechanical, electrical, and optical properties that make them attractive for a wide variety of scientific and industrial applications. Thus, there is considerable interest in developing nanomaterial synthesis techniques with high yield, selectivity, and material quality. Carbon-based nanomaterials include fullerenes, single- and double-walled carbon nanotubes, graphene, and nano-fibers. These nanomaterials and others, including non-carbon based materials, can be synthesized by Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD), laser ablation [1,2], and in arc plasma [3,4]. CVD is currently the most widely used commercial process for nanomaterial synthesis. In CVD synthesis, nanomaterials are grown on the surface of a substrate. In laser ablation and arc plasma synthesis, the nanomaterials can grow in the gas phase (volume growth), which has the desirable feature of producing nanomaterials in powder form. Arc plasma synthesis is attractive for this reason and for its simplicity and relatively low cost: arc plasma is created between two electrodes and material ablated from the electrodes evaporates to become the feedstock for nanomaterial synthesis in the gas phase. The expensive lasers and associated equipment required for laser ablation synthesis are not needed for arc plasma synthesis. However, the selectivity of arc plasma synthesis is generally poor compared to the selectivity of CVD synthesis. Improvement of nanomaterial selectivity in arc plasma synthesis requires better understanding of the synthesis process, which is presently not well understood.

Previous studies of arc plasma synthesis of nanomaterials have relied on analysis of the produced nanomaterials as the primary diagnostic technique: the synthesized nanomaterials are collected from the cathode and walls of the arc chamber and are characterized by a variety of ex situ analysis techniques such as electron microscopy (SEM and TEM), Raman spectroscopy, x-ray spectroscopy. However, this approach provides incomplete information because in-volume nanomaterial synthesis is not directly observed. In particular, ex situ analysis of the synthesized nanomaterials does not provide information on the plasma conditions and the precursor species to nanoparticle formation. (See refs. [3-8] for examples of previous studies). Probes and Optical Emission Spectroscopy were used to provide in situ measurements in some earlier experiments [9-10]. Thus, improved understanding of nanomaterial synthesis requires more extensive in situ measurements in the synthesis region. In the Laboratory for Plasma Nanosynthesis (LPN) at the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, we have focused on developing diagnostics to provide these in situ measurements and utilizing them with modeling to gain understanding of the synthesis processes.
This paper summarizes our work on developing and using these *in situ* diagnostic techniques to gain understanding of nanomaterial synthesis processes. Following a brief description of the experimental arrangement for carbon arc plasma synthesis of nanomaterials, the following *in situ* diagnostic techniques are described: Optical Emission Spectroscopy, Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence, Laser Induced Incandescence, Fast Frame Imaging, Coherent Rayleigh Brillouin Scattering, and the Nanomaterial Extractor Probe. The properties measured by these diagnostics are listed in Table 1 along with references to our publications on them. These diagnostics provide spatially resolved measurements. This is important because there is a strong gradient in the plasma and gas temperatures, with lower temperatures at larger distances from the arc axis [11]. As a result, the dominant species present change with spatial location. Although most of these diagnostics have been previously used in other contexts, the combined set of measurements is important to advancing understanding of nanomaterial synthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic</th>
<th>Quantities Measured</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optical Emission Spectroscopy (OES)</td>
<td>Species identification, spectral line intensities, plasma electron temperature and density</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast Frame Imaging (FFI)</td>
<td>Fast 2-D images of spectral line intensities, time-resolved discharge dynamics</td>
<td>11, 12, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence (PLIF)</td>
<td>Species concentrations (2-D)</td>
<td>11, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Induced Incandescence (LII)</td>
<td>Nanoparticle sizes, images of nanoparticle distributions</td>
<td>15, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherent Rayleigh Brillouin Scattering (CRBS)</td>
<td>Nanoparticle masses, distribution functions, and concentrations</td>
<td>17, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanomaterial Extractor Probe</td>
<td>Nanoparticles extracted from synthesis region for ex situ analysis</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Arc Plasma Experimental Arrangement

The carbon arc setup consists of two cylindrical graphite electrodes that are oriented vertically or horizontally in an arc reactor chamber filled with helium gas at a pressure of ~500 Torr [20-22]. The arc setup is shown schematically in Fig. 1. In most of the experiments, the diameter of the cathode is larger than that of the anode, with typical values of 5.0-6.5 mm for the anode and 9.5-11.5 mm for the
cathode. The cathode is solid graphite, while the anode can either be solid graphite or have a coaxial hole that is packed with pure graphite powder or a mixture of graphite and catalyst metal powders (e.g., nickel and yttrium). The latter configuration is used to synthesize Single Walled Carbon Nanotubes (SWCNTs) while the pure graphite anode configuration produces other types of nanomaterials [5-7]. In the case of a solid graphite anode, the synthesized nanomaterials are deposited primarily on the cathode.

Fig. 1. Schematic of carbon arc plasma setup for nanomaterial synthesis.

The arc is initiated by bringing the electrodes into contact and then gradually separating them via a stepping motor-driven mechanism, which is also used to maintain a fixed anode-cathode gap in the 2-4 mm range. Power is provided by a current-regulated power supply with a ballast resistor in series with the electrodes to reduce relaxation oscillations due to the negative differential resistance of the arc. Depending on the experiment, the arc current is in the 40-60 A range and the voltage drop is in the 20-30 V range. The duration of an arc experiment is typically about 2 minutes and is determined by heating of the reactor chamber. The plasma column is confined to the region between the anode and cathode and has a diameter of ~6 mm. The gas temperature in the plasma core is 8000 K [11,23]. The gas
temperature drops rapidly outside the plasma with values of 4500 K at 3 mm and 3500 K at 4 mm. Our work shows that nanomaterial growth occurs primarily in the region outside the plasma core [23]. The in situ diagnostics described in this paper probe both the plasma core and the hot gas region.

3. Optical Emission Spectroscopy

Optical Emission Spectroscopy (OES) was used to measure the plasma electron density and temperature in an arc that utilized horizontal solid graphite anodes and cathodes, with diameters of 6.5 mm and 9.5 mm, respectively [11].

![Fig. 2. Schematic of arc plasma experimental setup with Optical Emission Spectroscopy, Fast Frame Imaging, and Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence diagnostics (from ref. [11]).](image)

The arc was operated in a helium atmosphere at 500 Torr pressure with 5% hydrogen by weight added to enable the OES measurements. Experiments were performed in both the low- and high-ablation modes of arc operation, which are distinguished by the arc current and ablation rate of the anode [20].
The experimental arrangement for these measurements, and the Fast Frame Imaging (FFI) and Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence (PLIF) Measurements described below is shown in Fig. 2 and the experimental details are given by Vekselman et al. [11]. The optical axes of the low- (0.22 nm/pixel) and the imaging high-resolution (0.0046 nm/pixel) spectrometers used for OES and PLIF detection, the PLIF laser, and the FFI system intersected the arc axis at right angles at a point midway between the electrode surfaces. The plasma was imaged onto the entrance slit of the high-resolution spectrometer with the radial direction in the arc discharge along the long dimension of the slit. The light collection optics had a magnification of M=1/4, yielding a spatial resolution of 120 pixels/mm on the CCD camera detector (4.8 μm X 4.8 μm pixels). The time resolution of the measurements was 6-20 μs, determined by the camera exposure time.

The low-resolution spectrometer measured spectra in the visible region (380-700 nm), with the dominant features being the C₂ Swan bands and the Hα, Hβ, and Hγ lines (shown in Fig. 3 of ref. [11]). Weaker lines of carbon atoms and ions were also observed. The dominance of the C₂ Swan bands is typical in spectra of carbon arcs and is consistent with carbon dimers and trimmers being important precursor species in the nanomaterial synthesis process.

Spatially-resolved measurements of the electron density profile in the low- and high-ablation modes were derived from measurements of the Hα line shape obtained using the high-resolution spectrometer [24,25]. A spectrum measured with the arc operated in low-ablation mode (arc current=55 A) is shown in Fig. 3 [11] along with a fit to a Voigt function. The Voigt function is the convolution of a Gaussian line profile due to instrumental broadening and Doppler broadening caused by ion motion and a Lorentzian line profile due to Stark broadening. The contribution due to Doppler broadening was estimated from the temperature measured using the Boltzmann diagram method described below. To ensure that the line profile measurement was not distorted by self-absorption effects, the Hα line was verified to be optically thin by doubling the emission using a spherical mirror placed behind the arc plasma in the spectrometer line of sight. The electron density profile shown in Fig. 3 is flat with a value of 8 X 10¹⁵ cm⁻³ inside a central region of radius ~ 1 mm and drops rapidly at larger radii. Similar measurements performed in high ablation mode (arc current=65 A) show a larger electron density of 2 X 10¹⁶ cm⁻³ inside the central region.
Fig. 3. Electron density profile measurement in low ablation mode of arc operation showing: radial profile of H$_\alpha$ emission (a); measured line profile at $r=1$ mm fit to a Voigt function convolved with the instrumental profile (b); and the derived electron density profile (c). (From ref. [11].)

The electron temperature was measured by fitting the relative intensities of the H$_\alpha$, H$_\beta$, and H$_\gamma$ lines measured by the low-resolution spectrometer to a collisional-radiative model [11,24,25]. This approach is a generalization of the Boltzmann diagram method that does not assume that the upper levels of these lines are in Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE), which is not a valid assumption for the arc plasma. Electron temperature values of 0.8±0.1 eV were obtained for the low-ablation mode and 0.9±0.2 eV for the high ablation mode. In both cases, the values were constant in time following a transient at arc initiation. The time resolution of these measurements was determined by the 1 $\mu$s exposure time and the spatial
resolution was determined by the observational cone cross-sectional area in the focal plane of ~0.8 mm² [11].

These values of the electron density and temperature are applicable to the experiments described below. (It was not possible to measure the electron temperature and density in each individual experiment due to the time required.)

4. Fast Frame imaging

The fast Frame Imaging (FFI) diagnostic consists of a high speed visible camera that views the arc plasma through a macro lens and broadband interference filters that are centered on lines of C₂ (470 nm), C I (600 nm), and H (656.3 nm). The time resolution of the measurements was 2 µs, determined by the camera exposure time. The light collection optics determined the spatial resolution of 24 pixels/mm on the camera (22 µm X 22 µm pixels).

This system produced the 2-D images of the brightness at these wavelengths shown in Fig. 4 [11]. It is clear that the H α emission is concentrated in the arc core, while the C I line is emitted from a broader region, and the C₂ emission is from an even larger region that extends significantly outside the anode. This is due in part to the larger excitation energies for the H α (12 eV) and C I lines (10.7 eV) compared to the excitation energy for the C₂ band (2.6 eV), but it is also due to differences in the spatial distributions of these species. As shown in Fig. 5, Abel inversion of the these line brightnesses assuming rotational symmetry confirmed that the C₂ emission originates predominately from a much larger radius than the H α and C I emission.

![FFI images of H α, C I, and C₂ line brightnesses](image)

Fig. 4. FFI images of H α (a), C I (b), and C₂ (c) line brightnesses with the arc operated in the low-ablation mode (from ref. [11]).
Fig. 5. (a) Radial profiles of the H\textalpha, C I, and C\textsubscript{2} line brightnesses taken from Fig. 4 in the center of the electrode gap; (b) reconstruction of the line emissivities assuming rotational symmetry (from ref. [11]).

The FFI technique also provides valuable information on the arc motion, which can be significant, especially in the high-ablation mode. An example of this is the observation by Yatom et al. [12] of the “synthesis-on” and “synthesis-off” modes in the synthesis of SWCNTs using a hollow anode filled with C-Ni-Y powder (14:4.1:1 by weight). FFI images of the arc motion taken through the C\textsubscript{2} filter showed that, for the majority of the arc operation period, the plasma was attached to the solid edge of the anode and therefore was not in contact with the catalyst required to synthesize SWCNTs. Movable witness plate measurements showed little synthesis of SWCNTs during this “synthesis-off” mode of operation. The arc occasionally attached to the center of the anode and the powder material entered the plasma (“synthesis-on” mode), producing a much larger quantity of SWCNTs as found in witness plate samples taken during this time. Witness plates are removable plates that can be located in the synthesis region to collect particle samples for later ex-situ analysis. OES measurements showed more intense C\textsubscript{2} Swan band emission and the presence of catalyst Ni and Y atomic lines in “synthesis-on” mode compared to “synthesis-off” mode. FFI was also used to study the unstable behavior of the arc discharge by Gershman and Raitses [13].

5. Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence

The OES measurements discussed above show that C\textsubscript{2} exists primarily in a peripheral region surrounding the arc plasma (referred to as the “bubble”). This supports the hypothesis that carbon is ablated from the graphite anode in the form of molecules and larger particles which are then dissociated to carbon atoms in the
hot arc core and then associate back to C$_2$ and C$_3$ in the hot gas region (3000-5000 K) surrounding the arc plasma. To further develop a model of nanomaterial synthesis, it is necessary to perform quantitative measurements of the C$_2$ density for comparison with model predictions. Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence (PLIF) is well-suited to this measurement because it is a sensitive species-specific technique with high spatial and time resolution that can be calibrated to be quantitative. The principles of Laser Induced Fluorescence as applied to C$_2$ detection in low temperature plasmas are described in refs. [26-28]. Because signal detection is at a different wavelength from the laser wavelength, PLIF is insensitive to scattered laser light, which can be significant in the arc environment. The small background light contribution to the signal is due only to plasma emission. PLIF differs from conventional single-point LIF in that 2-D images of the fluorescence are measured.

Vekselman et al. [11,14] performed quantitative PLIF measurements of the 2-D C$_2$ distribution in a carbon arc experiment using a setup similar to that shown in Fig. 2. The beam from a dye laser pumped by a frequency-tripled Nd:YAG laser with 8 ns pulse duration was formed into a sheet beam (6 mm high by 0.05 mm wide) by a cylindrical lens that was aligned with the arc axis. The dye laser operated with a linewidth of 0.06 cm$^{-1}$ and was tuned to excite the (3,1) Swan band at 437.14 nm. The dye laser energy of 10 mJ per pulse was attenuated to ~0.1 mJ by a half-wave plate and rotatable linear polarizer to avoid saturation of the upper level of the transition. A 2-D image of the fluorescence near 469 nm was measured using an intensified CDD camera coupled to an objective lens and interference filter. The spatial resolution was 36 pixels/mm. The camera exposure time of 40 ns determined the time resolution of the measurements and was chosen to match the duration of the fluorescence signal to minimize the contribution of background light to the signal. The background signal measured with the laser off was subtracted from the fluorescence signal. Calibration of the system sensitivity and measurement of the excited state lifetime were required to derive quantitative measurements of the C$_2$ density from the images. This procedure is described in detail in ref. [14].

A PLIF measurement of the C$_2$ density distribution compared with a 2-D fluid model prediction for an arc with solid graphite electrodes operated at 50 A is shown in Fig. 6 [14]. Both the measurement and the model show the bubble-shape inferred from the OES measurements and the absolute values are in good agreement. The model accurately reproduces the C$_2$ bubble size and thickness and the formation of C$_2$ near the anode surface seen in the measurements. The details of the model are given in refs. [14,23]. It is based on a fluid model of the plasma coupled to a diffusive transport model for carbon species. The model does not account for the change in the cathode surface topology due to the growth of the deposit seen in Fig. 6b.
6. Laser Induced Incandescence

In addition to measurements of the plasma composition, direct observation of the nanoparticles in the arc plasma and surrounding hot gas region is required to identify the region where nanoparticle synthesis occurs. For larger nanoparticles and microparticles with diameters greater or equal to than ~5 nm, this is accomplished using Laser Induced Incandescence (LII). A short duration laser pulse heats the nanoparticles to a high temperature and the time evolution of the emitted incandescence radiation is detected [15,16,29]. The decay rate of the incandescence is dependent on particle size and temperature, with smaller particles exhibiting faster decay rates. LII can therefore serve as a particle size diagnostic when coupled to a suitable model of the incandescence. LII has been primarily applied to particle measurements in combustion; a review is given in ref. [29].

Yatom et al. [16] measured particle sizes as a function of radius from the arc axis in an arc with solid graphite electrodes that was operated at 60 A. A Nd:YAG laser (1064 nm wavelength) with 8 ns pulse duration was injected into the arc region. The laser beam was shaped and clipped to yield a near-circular, flat-top profile with a radius of 5 mm and energy of 25 mJ/pulse. The time evolution of the incandescence was observed with a photomultiplier tube (PMT) and a broadband interference filter centered at 690 nm. The light imaged onto the PMT passed through a slit with variable width and position relative to the arc axis. This allowed the radial profile of the LII signal to be measured. (The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1 of ref. [16]). LII signals were measured for distances from the arc axis from r=1 mm to r=13 mm with a slit width corresponding to 2.25 mm in the radial direction. This slit width defines the spatial resolution of the measurement in the
radial direction. A tall slit was used so there no spatial resolution in the vertical direction. The laser repetition rate was 2 Hz, which allowed ~200 LII signals to be collected and averaged during the 2-minute duration of an arc run. The LII signals with good signal to noise ratios were selected and a low pass filter was applied to remove the high frequency noise due to the background arc light. The signals were then normalized to the same peak value and averaged to yield a characteristic LII time evolution for each radial location. The time resolution of the measurement is several microseconds and is determined by the time required for the incandescence to completely decay away.

The detection limit of the LII measurement is evaluated via the approach described in Ref. [30]. The calculation considers the total power radiated by spherical particles and is converted to the voltage on a 50 Ohm load, taking into account the geometry, the sensors employed in our experimental setup, and the form of the laser beam. A detectable signal level of several mV corresponds to a particle number density of $10^8$ cm$^{-3}$.

Measurements of the LII signals at $r=2.25$ mm and 12.25 mm are shown in Fig. 7 [16]. The signal at $r=12.25$ mm has a faster decay rate than the signal at $r=2.25$ mm, indicating that particle size decreases with radial location. The average particle size was derived from the LII signals using a model that solves the time-dependent energy and mass balance equations for the particles. The details of the model are given in ref. [16] and the references therein. The dominant processes for energy balance are heat transfer due to laser absorption, conduction, oxidation, radiation, sublimation, thermionic emission, and radiation from the arc plasma. Mie theory is used to calculate the particle heating rates due to laser absorption, radiation, and arc plasma radiation.
The model simulates the LII signal from an assumed distribution of spherical particle diameters and this distribution then is modified to achieve good agreement with the measured LII signals. Two other important inputs to the model are the gas temperature and the initial particle temperature before laser heating. These are simulated using the model described in Section 5 above. The results of this model fitting are shown in Fig. 7. The best fit was found with mean particle diameters of 1.27 µm at r=2.25 mm and 20 nm at r=12.25 mm. A log-normal distribution of particle diameters (shown in the insets in Fig. 7) with a standard deviation of 50% was assumed.

The mean particle diameter as a function of radius from the arc axis measured in this way is shown in Fig. 8 [16]. The mean particle diameter is on the order of 1 µm for radii inside the cathode radius; it drops sharply to much smaller values of 20-30 nm outside the cathode radius. A heat transfer simulation shows that this behavior can be explained by a model in which large (~1 µm) carbon particles are ablated from the anode due to thermal stresses caused by the arc attachment point and completely evaporate within 1 mm of radial motion. These large particles are therefore found only inside the cathode radius. The smaller particles found outside the cathode radius are formed by clustering of carbon atoms and molecules (e.g., C₂ and C₃), not by evaporation of larger particles. It is important to note that the LII model provides a measurement of the mean particle diameter assuming spherical particles. In reality, the particles are not all spherical, e.g., carbon nanotubes are
cylindrical, and would require a different model. However, the approximate sizes and trends provide useful information regardless of particle shape.

Fig. 8. Mean particle diameter obtained by fitting LII measurements as a function of radial distance from the arc axis (from ref. [16]).

7. Coherent Rayleigh Brillouin Scattering

The LII technique is capable of detecting particles with diameters down to approximately 5 nm, but it is not applicable to smaller particles. This leaves a gap in measurement capability between particles of this size and the small molecules and atoms observed by OES and PLIF. Coherent Rayleigh Brillouin Scattering (CRBS) is a four-wave mixing laser scattering technique that has been previously applied to gases [17, 31-34] and has recently been applied to small nanoparticles [18]. In CRBS, two pump laser beams intersect at an angle close to 180 degrees, creating an interference pattern. Upon interaction with a medium, an optical lattice is created since small particles are trapped on the high intensity nodes of the interference pattern due to electrostriction. A probe laser beam that is shone on this induced lattice at an angle fulfilling the Bragg condition is scattered from the trapped particles, producing a signal beam that maintains all the characteristics of the probe
beam, i.e. it is a laser beam. (The scattering geometry is shown in Fig 2. of ref. [18].)
In general, the signal line profile consists of a peak due to Rayleigh scattering and
two peaks due to Brillouin scattering. An important feature of CRBS is that the signal
is a coherent collimated beam and is therefore much stronger than the isotropic
emission produced by spontaneous Raleigh scattering.

Theoretical analysis shows that CRBS should be able to detect small concentrations
of nanoparticles in a buffer gas [35]. The relative contribution to the CRBS signal
from nanoparticles with density \( N_n \) compared to the CRBS signal from the buffer gas
with density \( N_g \) are comparable when \( N_n/N_g \propto (\alpha_g/\alpha_n)^{5/2} \), where \( \alpha_n \) and \( \alpha_g \) are the
polarizabilities of the nanoparticles and the buffer gas atoms, respectively. (This
dependence of the intensity of the CRBS signal for gases with different
polarizabilities was experimentally confirmed in [17]). For example, for a mixture of
\( \text{C}_6\text{O} \) molecules and Ar atoms at atmospheric pressure and 300 K, \( \text{C}_6\text{O} \) can be detected
at a partial pressure of 1 mTorr \( (N_n\sim3.3\times10^{13}\text{ cm}^{-3}) \). If the buffer gas is helium, the
minimum detectable \( \text{C}_6\text{O} \) partial pressure is of the order of \( 5 \times 10^{-6}\text{ Torr (N}_n\sim1.6 \times 10^{11}\text{ cm}^{-3}) \). For larger nanoparticles, the minimum detection limit is much lower.

The CRBS experimental setup is described in detail in [17,18]. It consists of two
Nd:YVO4 pump laser beams (1064 nm wavelength) with pulse duration of 150 ns
and energy of 250 mJ/pulse. The system utilizes the single-shot chirped lattice
approach to vary the velocity of the optical lattice during a single laser pulse. The
CRBS beams cross at a point located at \( r=13 \text{ mm} \) from the arc axis. A non-planar
scattering geometry is used to minimize the contribution to the signal beam of
scattered background light from pump beams. The spatial resolution of the
measurement is determined by the spatial extent of the CRBS interaction region,
which is approximately 0.15 mm [18].

The measured CRBS spectrum plotted versus optical lattice velocity was constructed
from laser pulses with different chirp rates and is shown in Fig. 9 [18]. Each point in
Fig. 9 is the CRBS signal from a single laser shot with background removed. The
Brillouin peaks are negligible because phonons cannot be launched at low
nanoparticle densities. The measured spectrum is fit to a Doppler line profile. The
mass of the nanoparticles was estimated to be \( 5 \times 10^5 \text{ amu} \) assuming that the particles are in thermal equilibrium with the ambient gas at a temperature of 1500
K at \( r=13 \text{ mm} \) predicted by the 2-D simulations discussed above. This corresponds
to a spherical particle diameter of 6 nm assuming the graphite particles are hollow
or 5 mm assuming that they are solid graphite. Thus, the CRBS measurements show
the existence of very small nanoparticles in the region outside the arc core where
nanoparticles synthesis is believed to occur. We note that the measured line profile
is dominated by the lighter particles that are present in significant quantities since they exhibit the largest Doppler broadening.

With the present setup, the laser energies required to obtain measurable CRBS signals resulted in the destruction of the nanoparticles early in the laser pulse; thus, the measured nanoparticle sizes are characteristic of the particle sizes prior to the laser pulse. Improvements to the sensitivity of the system that should eliminate this problem have been identified, e.g., using a probe beam at a different wavelength than the pump beams and spectral filtering should significantly reduce the background light and allow measurements to be made at lower laser energies. A potential future application of CRBS is to measure the flow velocity, an important parameter for understanding the synthesis process because it determines the nanoparticle residence time in the synthesis region. We note also that Santra et al. [36] have shown theoretically that it should be possible to measure nanoparticle concentrations and shapes (based on depolarization) using Spontaneous Rayleigh Brillouin Scattering.
Fig. 9. Measured CRBS nanoparticle Rayleigh profile measured at r=13 mm relative to arc axis. It is well reproduced by a theoretical estimate (red curve) that assumes a particle mass of $5 \times 10^5$ amu and that the nanoparticles are in thermal equilibrium with the ambient gas at 1500 K. A calculated Rayleigh profile for C$_{60}$ particles (720 amu mass) is shown for comparison (orange curve). (From ref. [18].)

8. Nanomaterial Extractor Probe

The LII and CRBS techniques provide *in situ* measurements of nanoparticle sizes and help identify the region of nanomaterial formation but they do not provide detailed information on the structure of the nanoparticles at various locations. Such structural information is obtained using a Nanomaterial Extractor Probe that collects nanomaterial samples from the nanomaterial formation region [19]. The collected samples are then analyzed ex situ using standard techniques such as Raman spectroscopy and electron microscopy. The Nanomaterial Extractor Probe is shown schematically in Fig. 10. It consists of a thin tungsten wire that can be rapidly moved through region surrounding the hot plasma core by a high-speed actuator. A signal generator drives the probe and can be programmed to allow the probe to be
exposed to the plasma for a specified residence time. A shield protects the probe from plasma exposure at other times.

Fig. 10. Schematic of the Nanomaterial Extractor Probe concept. (From ref. [19].)

Such a system was used to study SWCNT formation in an arc with a graphite cathode (13 mm diameter) and a hollow graphite anode (5 mm diameter) containing graphite-nickel-yttrium powder (94.8:4.2:1 molar ratio) [19]. The arc was operated at 75 A current with a gap of 2 mm. The probe was a tungsten wire (0.5 mm diameter and 50 mm length). Nanomaterial samples were collected over the region $r$≥3mm from the arc axis to avoid melting of the probe and residence times of 10-60 ms were used. The collected nanomaterial samples were analyzed by Raman Microscopy and SEM. This experiment determined that SWCNT growth occurs primarily in the $r$=3-11 mm region and that the SWCNT length increases monotonically up to 500 nm with increasing distance from the arc axis, while diameter and chirality varied only slightly with position [19].

9. Summary and Conclusions

The in situ diagnostic techniques described here have led to advances in understanding of nanomaterial synthesis processes in carbon arc plasmas that were not possible in previous studies that utilized mainly ex situ diagnostics. In particular, these measurements are consistent with a recently developed two-dimensional fluid model [23] in which carbon is ablated from the graphite anode in the form of molecules that then evaporate into carbon atoms in the hot arc core. These atoms leave the arc core and travel to the surrounding hot gas region where they associate into small molecules such as $C_2$ and $C_3$, which are the basic building blocks for
volume growth of nanoparticles in the cooler region outside the region where these species are found. A graphical depiction of this model is shown in figure 4 of ref. [23]. The in situ measurements described here support this model.
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